

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------|--------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/954,648 09/18/2001 | | 09/18/2001 | Judith F.M. Masthoff | PHGB 000126 | 7500 |
| 24737 | 7590 | 10/20/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| PHILIPS II | NTELLE | CTUAL PROPERT | KE, PENG | | |
| P.O. BOX 30 | 001 | | | | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2174 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 09/954,648 | MASTHOFF ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Peng Ke | 2174 | |

| i | Peng Ke | 2174 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ers on the cover sheet with | the correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | | |
| 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on | the same day as filing a Notic | ce of Appeal. To avoid aba | andonment of |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow | ing replies: (1) an amendmer | nt, affidavit, or other evider | nce, which |
| places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not | ice of Appeal (with appeal fee | e) in compliance with 37 C | FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance | e with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | ly must be filed within one | of the following |
| time periods: | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | forth in the final rejection wh | siehovor is later. In |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | ter than SIX MONTHS from the r | nailing date of the final reject | ion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEI | N THE FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 6.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | on which the petition under 37 CF | R 1.136(a) and the appropria | ate extension fee |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s | ension and the corresponding air hortened statutory period for repl | v originally set in the final Off | ice action; or (2) as |
| set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later | than three months after the maili | ng date of the final rejection, | even if timely filed, |
| may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 mu | st be filed within two mont | ns of the date of |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | within the time neriod set fort | h in 37 CFR 41 37(a). | ie appeal. Silice |
| AMENDMENTS | Within the time period oct for | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I | out prior to the date of filing a | brief will not be entered b | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see | e NOTE below): | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | ter form for appeal by materia | ally reducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of fina | lly rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of No | on-Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | ; | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | lowable if submitted in a sepa | arate, timely filed amendm | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | ☐ will not be entered, or b) [| will be entered and an | explanation of |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | rided below of appended. | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | the formation when data of file | N-4: of A will - | at he entered |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an | t before or on the date of filling | g a Notice of Appeal Will <u>li</u> effidavit or other evidence | <u>ot</u> be entered is necessary and |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | a sufficient reasons willy the c | anidavit of other evidence | is necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing | a Notice of Appeal, but prior | to the date of filing a brief. | will not be |
| entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o | vercome all rejections under | appeal and/or appellant fa | ails to provide a |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | y and was not earlier present | ed. See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | (1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | n of the status of the claims a | ifter entry is below or attac | ched. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the applica | ition in condition for allowa | ance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | _ |
| 13. Other: | | Kustine Kind | aid |
| | | WWW C. | |
| | | Dustine | ألماري الم |
| • | * * * | المتوصوص والأول والوالو ووالوالوالوالوالوالوالوالوالوالوالوالوال | ن ب <u>صب بال</u> |
| | | | ₩ |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argued that Hong fails to teach single clicking of an input device to accept the displayed optimized arrangement and clicking of the input device twice to cancel the displayed optimized arrangement."

Examiner disagrees. Hong teaches this limitation. The Hong's single click and double clicks is used to determine whether user wants to accept the current paper size or change it. (see Hong, items 573 and 572) With a single click user can accept the setting. And users can change to the paper size setting with double clicks. (see Hong, items 573 and 572) Therefore, Hong teaches the single click accepts the arrangement and the double clicks change to the arrangement., which is the same as canceling the current the arrangement. (see Hong, items 573 and 572)